

When telephoning, please ask for: Helen Tambini
Direct dial 0115 914 8320
Email democraticservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Our reference:

Your reference:

Date: 10 December 2025

Record of Decisions taken by Cabinet – Tuesday, 9 December 2025

At a meeting of the Cabinet held on Tuesday, 9 December 2025 the following decisions were reached on the items listed in the attached schedule.

The implementation of any key decisions are suspended until the call-in period has expired without a call-in being validly invoked.

Under the Rushcliffe Borough Council Constitution, call-in is available in respect to key decisions only.

The call-in deadline for any key decisions contained in this Decision Notice is before the end of the working day on Thursday, 18 December 2025. Subject to any call-in request being received, all the decisions will be actioned after Thursday, 18 December 2025.

Any Member of the Council shall be entitled to call for a decision to be suspended. To effect the call-in procedure, the appropriate form should be completed and returned to the Chief Executive by the end of the working day on Thursday, 18 December 2025.

NON-KEY DECISIONS

RENEWAL OF PUBLIC SPACE PROTECTION ORDER

It was RESOLVED that the Public Space Protection Order (General ASB) as set out in Appendix 1 to the report be approved, to take effect from 9 December 2025.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

The Council initially approved a Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) on 2 February 2017, which controlled the activities of street drinking and outdoor sleeping in key areas of West Bridgford, Edwalton and Gamston. It is a requirement of the enabling legislation, namely the Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014, that the Order be reviewed before the expiry of three years. The PSPO was renewed in 2019 and again in 2022 coming into effect on the 2 December 2022. It is proposed that this revised PSPO will take effect immediately on 9 December 2025.

Owing to changes in the law and the latest crime trends, it is considered necessary to remove the restrictions on persons refusing to remove items left on land, also on restrictions relating to begging and spitting, which are covered by other legislation.

DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

It was RESOLVED that:

- a) the proposed revisions to the draft Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document be supported;
- b) the adoption of the Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document be approved;
- c) authority be delegated to the Director – Development and Economic Growth, in consultation with the Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Planning and Housing, to make any necessary final minor textual, graphical and presentational changes required to the SPD prior to publication; and
- d) the Developer Requirements Supplementary Planning Guidance that was adopted in December 2003 be revoked.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

If adopted, the Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will provide guidance on applying Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy Policies 18 (Infrastructure) and 19 (Developer Contributions), as well as relevant national policy and guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework and Planning Practice Guidance. Its key role is to set out the likely scope and scale of planning obligations for different types of development and explain the Council's general approach to securing them.

In order to replace the Developer Requirements Supplementary Planning Guidance, which was adopted in December 2003 and is now largely outdated.

RUSHCLIFFE NATURE CONSERVATION STRATEGY

It was RESOLVED that the adoption of the Rushcliffe Nature Conservation Strategy 2026-2030 be approved as a Strategy of the Council.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

The current adopted Nature Conservation Strategy runs to the end of 2025 and needs updating for its work to continue in line with the Council's Environment Corporate priority.

GREATER NOTTINGHAM STRATEGIC PLAN

It was RESOLVED that:

- a) it be agreed that the Director – Development and Economic Growth be

authorised to request that the Planning Inspector(s) examining the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan (GNSP) recommends any main modifications to the GNSP, if necessary, in order for the Plan to be legally compliant and found sound;

- b) the suggested Main Modifications and Other Modifications to the GNSP as set out in Appendix 2 to the report be approved;
- c) authority be delegated to the Director – Development and Economic Growth, in consultation with the Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Planning and Housing, to propose and consult on necessary modifications to the GNSP during its examination stage;
- d) the ‘substantially the same effect’ compliance statement for the GNSP as set out in Appendix 3 to the report be approved; and
- e) the revised Local Development Scheme as set out in Appendix 4 to the report be approved.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan (GNSP) must be examined by a Planning Inspector (or Inspectors) appointed by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government before it can be adopted as part of the statutory development plan.

Modifications are proposed where considered appropriate, to address issues raised by consultees who submitted representations to the draft GNSP. These would be suggested to the Planning Inspector(s) during the Plan’s examination. The suggestion of main modifications should increase the likelihood that the Inspector(s) will conclude the Plan is sound, subject to the inclusion of the modifications.

To satisfy the relevant legal requirement by demonstrating that the revised Publication Draft GNSP, published in March 2025, has ‘substantially the same effect’ on the remaining authorities as the Publication Draft GNSP, which was published in November 2024.

To ensure that the Rushcliffe Local Development Scheme is up to date at the point it is submitted for public examination.

Failure to review and replace the Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy would eventually result in the Borough not having an up-to-date development plan. The absence of which would increase the risk of speculative unplanned development in Rushcliffe and could weaken the Council’s ability to effectively deal with all planning applications.

QUARTER 2 FINANCE REPORT

It was RESOLVED that the report be approved and the following be noted:

- a) the projected revenue budget efficiency for the year of £0.810m and proposals to earmark this for cost pressures as set out in Appendix A and paragraph 4.1 of the report;
- b) the projected capital budget efficiencies of £1.466m including the budget changes as set out in Appendix D to the report; and
- c) the projected overspend on Special Expenses of £19k, as set out in paragraph 4.7 of the report.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

To demonstrate good governance in terms of scrutinising the Council's on-going performance and financial position.

KEY DECISION

PROPOSED SALE OF COUNCIL'S FREEHOLD INTEREST AT DEBDALE LANE, KEYWORTH

It was RESOLVED that:

- a) the disposal of land at Debdale Lane, Keyworth as set out in the body of the report be approved; and
- b) authority be delegated to the Director – Development and Economic Growth to complete final negotiations and sale terms, subject to the minimum value set out in the report.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

As per the Council's Acquisition and Disposal Policy, the asset is declared surplus to the Council's operational and investment purposes and is therefore suitable for disposal.

NON-KEY DECISION

PROPOSED SALE OF COUNCIL'S FREEHOLD INTEREST AT HILTON CRESCENT, WEST BRIDGFORD

It was RESOLVED that:

- a) the disposal of the land at Hilton Crescent, West Bridgford, as set out in the body of the report be approved; and
- b) authority be delegated to the Director – Development and Economic Growth

to negotiate the final terms of the disposal, undertake appropriate due diligence and dispose of the asset in accordance with the Acquisitions and Disposal Policy.

REASON FOR DECISIONS

The Council's Asset Review Programme, led by the Director – Finance and Corporate Services, highlighted the land at Hilton Crescent, West Bridgford provided no operational or strategic benefits to the Council and was therefore surplus to requirements.

Following a successful marketing campaign, offers were received, which were fully reviewed and considered by the Asset Investment Group before a recommendation being made to Cabinet, as set out in the body of the report.

The disposal of the asset provides an opportunity to fund other corporate priorities.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Pregon'.

Sara Pregon
Monitoring Officer